













	Average decrease in N <sub>2</sub> O emissions a management practices according to			
	Practice	N <sub>2</sub> O emission, % decrease	Crop yield, % increase	
	Fertilizer rate	9	-4	
	Fertilizer timing	0	4	
	Fertilizer placement	14	5	
	EEF source	35	6	
	Cover cropping	5	12	
	Biochar	40	13	
ASA CSSA SSSA Al Innovations for a Changing Climate 2024 San Antonio Addaline Young, Gerard Ros, and Wim de Vries. 2021. In agronomic measures on crop, soil, and environmental in A review and synthesis of meta-analysis. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 319: 107551. doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2021.107551.				Plant Nutrit Canar

Average decrease in N <sub>2</sub> O emissions and increase in crop yield
due to EEFs according to meta-analyses of global literature.

% decrease	% increase
25	4
29	5
25	8
44 to 49	2 to 5
31 to 49	1 to 3
19 to 33	-3
	% decrease 25 29 25 44 to 49 31 to 49 19 to 33

Fan, D. et al. 2022. Global Change Biology Grados, D. et al. 2022. Environmental Research Letters Thapa, R. et al. 2016. Soil Science Society of America Journal Yao, Z. et al. 2024. Global Change Biology

## Are EEFs the silver bullet?

- Reduce emissions >30%
- Increase yield up to 5%
- Cost the farmer \$
- Returns inadequate: only ~20% adoption
- Need to incentivize, requiring MRV









